

**DRAFT**



# Proposed Namespace Manager Procedures

**Dr. Scott Renner**

**24 August 2001**

**DRAFT**

## What a NS Manager Should Do



*DII-AF Chief Architects' Of*

- **Seven activities as a namespace manager**
  - Organize cooperation forums
  - Create sub-namespaces as needed
  - Collect requests for “operational” status
  - Collect nominations for Enterprise NS
  - Collect requests for Deconfliction
  - Collect complaints
  - Operate the NS website
- **Assumptions and motivations**
  - Bottom-up, market-driven approach
  - Facilitate cooperation, not mandate compliance

Aerospace Operations NSM  
intends to use these procedures

## Organize Cooperation Forums



*DII-AF Chief Architects' Of*

- **Purpose: a place for people across organizations to form consensus on XML definitions**
- **Collect and publish a list of people who want to cooperate**
  - Name, organization, subject area of interest, etc.
- **Charter cooperation forums as needed**
  - Any two or more participants that want to cooperate
  - Self-nominating
  - Probably they find each other through the list
  - Subject-area redundancy discouraged, not forbidden
  - Use common sense to choose the forum chair
  - Post participant list, meeting times & places, etc.

## Create Sub-Namespace As Needed



*DII-AF Chief Architects' Of*

- **Sub-namespaces for governance**
  - These seem to correspond to cooperation forums
  - Place to store the agreed definitions from a forum
  - May delegate NSM responsibilities to the forum
- **Sub-namespaces for disambiguation**
  - These seem to correspond to the technical meaning of NS
  - Can't have duplicate XML components within a namespace

# Collect Requests for **DRAFT** “Operational” Status



## **DII-AF Chief Architects' Of**

- **Anyone can put XML components into the registry**
  - **But only the NSM can mark them “operational”**
  - **Will make this decision upon request**
- **Successful requests will include:**
  - **List of organizations that have agreed to the definitions**
  - **List of systems that accept conforming XML documents**
  - **List of systems that produce conforming XML documents**
  - **Are the producing systems considered an authoritative source of this information?**
- **Successful requests will often come from cooperation forums**
- **Successful requests typically won't include DTDs**
  - **We want to see XML Schema**



## *DII-AF Chief Architects' Of*

- Widely-used XML components may belong in the Enterprise NS
- NS Manager proposes candidates to NSM Forum
- Will carry nominations forward upon request
- Successful requests will include:
  - List of components nominated for Enterprise NS
  - List of organizations that support nomination
  - List of other namespaces examined for conflicting components
  - List of other Namespace Managers that have coordinated on the request
  - List of possibly-redundant components discovered

## Collect Requests for Deconfliction



*DII-AF Chief Architects' Of*

- **Conflict: Different XML components with the same meaning**
  - Not as bad as different meanings for the same component
- **Can resolve conflict by**
  - Removing “operational” status from some components
  - Moving components into different namespaces
  - Will make these decisions upon request
- **Successful requests will include:**
  - Correlated list of XML components believed redundant
  - Suggested change to resolve the conflict
  - List of organizations that agree with request
- **Need to document deconfliction actions in the Registry**

## Collect Complaints



---

- **Kinds of complaints accepted:**
  - Inadequate documentation of XML components  
Not good enough for me to figure out what it means
  - Incorrect use of registered definitions  
You said you're using definition X... but you aren't
- **Successful complaints will include:**
  - Statement of problem, suggested resolution
  - Organization that needs to make the change
  - Statement that you've already tried to work it out with them
  - List of organizations that agree with complaint
- **NSM action on successful complaint may include:**
  - Posting on web site
  - Removing “operational” status from components with inadequate documentation
  - Forwarding to cognizant milestone decision authority

# DRAFT

## Operate the NS Website



---

*DII-AF Chief Architects' Of*

- Post information on the site
- Collect information through the site
- Don't use e-mail for this

# DRAFT

## What's Missing?



### DII-AF Chief Architects' Of

- **HL-7 (like) procedures for some forums/namespaces**
  - Useful when many peer systems communicate
  - Needed for test/certification of XML semantics
- **Recording semantics in ontologies**
  - A place for DARPA Agent Markup Language (DAML)
- **Resolving technical NS vs. governance NS**  
**What about multiple definitions for the same identifier?**
  - Governance NS must accommodate this
  - Technical NS intended precisely to avoid this
  - Registry “version number” seems overloaded here